## Award for the best oral and poster communication for people under 35 years old

**Instructions**

- Being under 35 years old
- Be a member of GRELL
- Communication accepted orally or poster by the scientific committee for the Meeting
- The communication will follow the structure rules recommended on the GRELL website for the Meeting
- The prize will consist on the fees reimbursement for the 2019 GRELL Meeting

The presentation will be evaluated by a Sub-Committee elected from the members of the Scientific Committee of the GRELL, composed of at least three members from different countries, each one will proceed to the assessment individually for each of the selected communications.

Each communication will be evaluated by each member of the subcommittee, in the following way up to a total of 10 points:

- Objectives: up to a maximum of 1 point
- Methodology: up to 1.5 points
- Results: up to a maximum of 1.5 points
- Conclusions / recommendations: up to a maximum of 1.5 points
- Clarity of the presentation, up to a maximum of 1 point
- Answer to the questions of the assistants: up to a maximum of 1 point (for poster communications iconography will be evaluated)
- Topic relevance up to a maximum of 1.5 points
- Innovation of the subject up to a maximum of 1 point

The jury will meet and the scores average of all members of the jury will be calculated and the communication with the highest score will obtain the prize. In case of a tie, the GRELL president will decide.

The GRELL president will deliver the prize at the closing ceremony of the Meeting.

The 2019 GRELL Prize committee is composed by Jacqueline Deloumeaux, Gemma Gatta, Clara Castro and Eva Ardanaz

**Evaluation score Oral communication**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  **Communication number** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Justification/Objectives: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methodology: up to 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: up to a maximum of 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusions / recommendations: up to a maximum of 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarity of the presentation, up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Answer to the questions of the assistants: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Topic relevance: up to a maximum of 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innovation of the subject: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Evaluation score Poster communication**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  **Communication number** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Justification/Objectives: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methodology: up to 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: up to a maximum of 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusions / recommendations: up to a maximum of 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarity of the presentation: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iconography: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Topic relevance: up to a maximum of 1.5 points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innovation of the subject: up to a maximum of 1 point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |